The District Attorney is the chief law enforcement official of the Second Judicial District, the boundaries of which are the same as the City and County of Denver. By Colorado statutory mandate, the District Attorney is responsible for the prosecution of violations of Colorado criminal laws. Hence, the District Attorney has the authority and responsibility to make criminal charging decisions in officer-involved shootings.

The Denver Police Department was created by the Charter of the City and County of Denver. Under the Charter, the police department is overseen by the Office of the Denver Manager of Safety, headed by the Executive Director of the Department of Safety. The Executive Director of the Department of Safety ("Executive Director") and the Chief of Police are appointed by and serve at the pleasure of the Mayor of Denver. The District Attorney has neither administrative authority nor control over the personnel of the Denver Police Department.

When a peace officer shoots and wounds or kills a person in Denver, Colorado, a very specific protocol is followed to investigate and review the case. An officer-involved shooting is not just another case. Confrontations between the police and citizens in which physical force or deadly physical force is used are among the most important events with which we deal. These incidents deserve special attention and handling at all levels. They have potential criminal, administrative, and civil consequences. They can also have a significant impact on the relationship between law enforcement officers and the community they serve. It is important that a formal protocol be in place in advance for handling these cases. The following will assist you in understanding the Denver protocol, the law, and other issues related to the investigation and review of officer-involved shootings.

The protocol is designed to ensure that a professional, thorough, impartial, and verifiable investigation is conducted in these situations and that it can be independently confirmed by later review.

When an officer-involved shooting occurs, it is immediately reported to the Denver police dispatcher, who then notifies all persons on the call-out list. This includes the Deputy Chief of Police Operations, Major Crimes Commander, Assistant District Attorney, Homicide Unit personnel, Director of the Crime Lab, Crime Lab Technicians, and others. These individuals respond first to the scene and then to DPD headquarters to take statements and conduct other follow-up investigation. The Denver District Attorney, Executive Director, and Chief of Police are notified of the shooting and may respond.

The criminal investigation is conducted under a specific investigative protocol with direct participation of Denver Police Department and Denver District Attorney personnel. Members of the Aurora Police Department also respond and participate in the investigation, evaluation and review as part of a multi-agency team, per C.R.S. 16-2.5-301 which became effective in 2015.

The primary investigative personnel are assigned to the DPD Homicide Unit where the best resources reside for this type of investigation. The scope of the investigation is broad and the focus is on all involved parties. This investigation includes the conduct of the involved officer(s) and the conduct of the person who is shot. Standard investigative procedures are used at all stages of the investigation, and additional specific procedures in the Denver Police Department’s Operations Manual for officer-involved shootings are followed to further ensure the integrity of the investigation. For example, the protocol requires the immediate separation and sequestration of all key witnesses.
and all involved officers. Involved officers are separated at the scene, transported separately by a supervisor to police headquarters, and sequestered with restricted visitation until a formal voluntary statement is taken. Generally the officers speak with their attorney prior to making a voluntary statement. The Department keeps a log to document who has contact with the officer to ensure independent statements and to avoid even the appearance of collusion.

In most cases, the bulk of the criminal phase of the investigation is concluded in the first twelve to twenty-four hours. The investigation includes a thorough processing of the crime scene, a neighborhood canvass to identify all possible witnesses, the taking of written statements from all witnesses and video-recorded statements from all key witnesses and the involved officer(s). The involved officer(s), like any citizen, have a Constitutional Fifth Amendment right not to make a statement. However, Denver officers consistently make statements. Since November of 1983, when the video interview room was first used, each of these statements has been video-recorded.

Officers are trained to properly secure their firearm after an officer-involved shooting. The protocol provides for the firearm to be taken from the officer by crime lab personnel for appropriate testing. The officer is provided a replacement weapon to use pending the completion of the testing. The protocol also allows for any officer to voluntarily submit to intoxicant testing if they choose. The most common circumstance under which an officer might elect to do so would be in a shooting while working at an establishment that serves alcohol beverages. Compelled intoxicant testing can be conducted if there are indications of possible intoxication and legal standards are met.

The Denver Chief of Police and Denver District Attorney commit significant resources to the investigation and review process in an effort to complete the investigation as quickly as practicable. Certain aspects of the investigation take more time to complete. For example, the testing of physical evidence by the crime lab -- firearm examination, gunshot residue or pattern testing, blood analyses, and other testing commonly associated with these cases -- is time consuming. In addition, when a death occurs, the autopsy and autopsy report can take additional time, especially if it is necessary to send lab work out for very specialized toxicology or other testing. In addition to conducting the investigation, the entire investigation must be thoroughly and accurately documented.

Officer-involved shooting cases are handled by the District Attorney, the Assistant District Attorney, and two Chief Deputy District Attorneys specifically trained for these cases. Those assigned are notified at the same time as others on the officer-involved shooting call-out list and respond to the scene of the shooting and then to police headquarters to participate in taking statements. They are directly involved in providing legal advice to the investigators and in taking video-recorded statements from citizens and officer witnesses, and from the involved officer(s). They continue to be involved throughout the follow-up investigation.

The Denver District Attorney is immediately informed when an officer-involved shooting occurs, and generally she responds to the scene and to the police headquarters to participate in the investigation. If she is not available, her personnel keep her informed throughout the process. At the conclusion of the criminal investigation the District Attorney in consultation with top staff makes the filing decision.

If criminal charges are not filed, a decision letter describing the shooting and the legal conclusions is sent to the Chief of Police of the jurisdiction of the officer by the District Attorney, with copies to the involved officer(s). In the case of Denver officers, copies go to the Mayor, City Council members, the Executive Director of the Department of Safety, and the media. A copy of the decision letter is also posted on the Denver DA website (www.denverda.org) so that members of the public may learn the facts of the incident and the reasons for the decision of the District Attorney.

If a case is not filed against any officer, the case file that is maintained by Denver District Attorney’s Office is available and open to the public for review, unless a criminal case is pending concerning the facts of the shooting, and subject to the Colorado Criminal Justice Records Act. Allowing our file to be reviewed permits interested members of the public to learn more about the investigation; to verify that our description of the facts in the decision letter is accurate; to verify that our decision is supported by the facts; and to determine whether they wish to challenge our decision under C.R.S. 16-5-209. Allowing access for review is important to the transparency of decision making in these important cases, and serves to foster public trust and confidence in the investigative process and in the decisions that are made.1

If criminal charges are filed against any person directly related to the shooting incident, the charges are filed in compliance with the same procedures as any other criminal filing. In that event, the file maintained by the Denver District Attorney’s Office becomes available and open to the

---

1 However, the complete official file of the investigation remains in the custody of the Denver Police Department, which is the custodian of the case records. If we have made a decision not to file criminal charges, the Denver Police Department begins an administrative investigation and review of the incident. This may result in the gathering of additional information and the production of additional documents concerning the incident. The Denver District Attorney’s Office is not involved in the administrative investigation and does not receive the additional information or investigative materials developed in that investigation. At the end of the administrative review, therefore, the files maintained by the Denver Police Department pertaining to the shooting will likely contain more information than the criminal investigation file.
THE DECISION

By operation of law, the Denver District Attorney is responsible for making the criminal filing decision in all officer-involved shootings in Denver.

The same standard that is used in all criminal cases in Denver is applied to the review of officer-involved shootings. The filing decision analysis involves reviewing the totality of the facts developed in the criminal investigation and applying the pertinent Colorado law to those facts. The facts and the law are then analyzed in relation to the criminal case filing standard. For criminal charges to be filed, the District Attorney must find that there is a reasonable likelihood that all of the elements of the crime charged can be proved beyond a reasonable doubt, to twelve jurors, at trial, after considering applicable defenses. If this standard is met, criminal charges will be filed.

The Denver District Attorney may also refer the investigation to the statutory grand jury. The District Attorney will consider it appropriate to refer the investigation to a grand jury when it is necessary for the successful completion of the investigation. It may be necessary in order to acquire access to essential witnesses or tangible evidence through the grand jury’s subpoena power, or to take testimony from witnesses who will not voluntarily cooperate with investigators or who claim a privilege against self-incrimination, but whom the district attorney is willing to immunize from prosecution on the basis of their testimony. The grand jury could also be used if the investigation produced significant conflicts in the statements and evidence that could best be resolved by grand jurors. If the grand jury is used, the grand jury could issue an indictment charging the officer(s) criminally. To do so, at least nine of the twelve grand jurors must find probable cause that the defendant committed the charged crime. In order to return a “no true bill,” at least nine grand jurors must vote that the probable cause proof standard has not been met. In Colorado, the grand jury members can now issue a report of findings when they return a no true bill or do not reach a decision (do not have nine votes either way). The report of the grand jury is a public document.

A second exception to the Denver District Attorney making the filing decision is when it is necessary to have a special prosecutor appointed. The most common situation is when a conflict of interest or the appearance of impropriety is present. As an example, if an officer involved in the shooting is related to an employee of the Denver District Attorney’s Office, or an employee of the Denver District Attorney’s Office is involved in the shooting.

THE COLORADO LAW

Criminal liability is established in Colorado only if it is proved beyond a reasonable doubt that someone has committed all of the elements of an offense defined by Colorado statute, and it is proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense was committed without any statutorily-recognized justification or excuse. While knowingly or intentionally shooting and causing injury or death to another human being is generally prohibited as assault or murder in Colorado, the criminal code specifies certain circumstances in which the use of physical force or deadly physical force is justified. As there is generally no dispute that the officer intended to shoot at the person who is wounded or killed, the determination of whether the conduct was criminal is primarily a question of legal justification.

Section 18-1-707(1) states that a police officer is justified in using reasonable and appropriate physical force upon another when and to the extent he or she reasonably believes it is necessary: 1) to effect an arrest or prevent the escape from custody or 2) to defend him or herself or a third person from what he or she reasonably believes to be the use or imminent use of physical force while making an arrest or preventing an escape.

A peace officer can use deadly physical force only when he or she reasonably believes it is necessary: 1) to defend him or herself or a third person from what he or she reasonably believes to be the use of imminent use of deadly physical force or 2) to arrest or prevent the escape of a person in certain circumstances. C.R.S. Section 18-1-707(2)

Deadly physical force is defined as force, the intended and natural consequence of which is to produce death, and which does, in fact, produce death. C.R.S. Section 18-1-901(2)(e). If the person shot does not die, by definition, only physical force has been used under Colorado law.

Therefore, the question presented in most officer-involved shooting cases is whether, at the instant the officer fired the shot that wounded or killed the person, the officer reasonably believed, and in fact believed, that he, she or another person, was in imminent danger of great bodily injury or death from the actions of the person who is shot. In order to establish criminal responsibility for knowingly or intentionally shooting another, the state must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the person doing the shooting either did not really believe he or another was in imminent danger, or, if he did hold such belief, that belief was, in light of the circumstances, unreasonable.
GENERAL COMMENTS

Because of the difference between the criminal, administrative, and civil standards, the same facts can fairly and appropriately lead to a different analysis and different results in these three uniquely different arenas. While criminal charges may not be fileable in a case, administrative action may be very appropriate. The legal levels of proof and rules of evidence that apply in the criminal-law arena are imprecise tools for examining and responding to the broader range of issues presented by officer-involved shootings. Issues related to the tactical and strategic decisions made by the officer leading up to the split-second decision to shoot are most effectively addressed by the Denver Police Department through the administrative review of the shooting.

The administrative review process, which is controlled by less stringent legal levels of proof and rules than the criminal-review process, provides both positive remedial options and punitive sanctions. This process also provides significantly broader latitude in accessing and using information concerning the background, history, and job performance of the involved officer. This type of information may have limited or no applicability to the criminal review, but may be very important in making administrative decisions. This could include information concerning prior officer-involved shootings, firearm discharges, use of non-lethal force, and other conduct, both positive and negative.

The Denver Police Department’s administrative review of officer-involved shootings improves police training and performance, helps protect citizens and officers, and builds public confidence in the department. Where better approaches are identified, administrative action may be the only way to effect remedial change. The administrative review process provides the greatest opportunity to bring officer conduct in compliance with the expectations of the department and the community it serves. The department and the community expect more of their officers than that they simply conduct themselves in a manner that avoids criminal prosecution.

The Denver Police Department’s Use of Force Review Board and the Tactics Review Board’s after-incident, objective analysis of the tactical and strategic string of decisions made by the officer that lead to the necessity to make the split-second decision to shoot is an important review process. It is clearly not always possible to do so because of the conduct of the suspect, but to the extent through appropriate tactical and strategic decisions officers can de-escalate, rather than intensify these encounters, the need for split-second decisions will be reduced. Once the split-second decision time frame is reached, the risk of a shooting is high.

It is clear not every officer will handle similar situations in similar ways. This is to be expected. Some officers will be better than others at defusing potentially-violent encounters. This is also to be expected. To the degree officers possess skills that enhance their ability to protect themselves and our citizens, while averting unnecessary shootings, Denver will continue to have a minimal number of officer-involved shootings. Denver officers face life-threatening confrontations hundreds of times every year. Nevertheless, over the last 20 years officer-involved shootings have averaged less than eight annually in Denver. These numbers are sharply down from the 1970s and early 1980s when there were 12-to-14 shootings each year.

Skill in the use of tactics short of deadly force is an important ingredient in keeping officer-involved shootings to a minimum. Training Denver officers receive in guiding them in making judgments about the best tactics to use in various situations, beyond just possessing good firearms proficiency, is one of the key ingredients in minimizing unnecessary and preventable shootings. Denver police officers handle well over a million calls for service each year and unfortunately in responding to these calls they face hundreds of life-threatening encounters in the process. In the overwhelming majority of these situations, they successfully resolve the matter without injury to anyone. Not all potentially-violent confrontations with citizens can be de-escalated, but officers do have the ability to impact the direction and outcome of many of the situations they handle, based on the critical decisions they make leading up to the deadly-force decision. It should be a part of the review of every officer-involved shooting, not just to look for what may have been done differently, but also to see what occurred that was appropriate, with the ultimate goal of improving police response.

RELEASE OF INFORMATION

Officer-involved shootings are matters of significant and legitimate public concern. Every effort must be made to complete the investigation and make the decision as quickly as practicable. The Denver Protocol has been designed to be as open as legal and ethical standards will permit. “Fair Trial – Free Press” standards and “The Colorado Rules of Professional Conduct” limit the information that can be released prior to the conclusion of the investigation, and the “Colorado Criminal Justice Records Act” dictates that the public interest be considered before releasing criminal justice records.

Officer-involved shooting cases always present the difficult issue of balancing the rights of the involved parties and the integrity of the investigation with the public’s right to know and the media’s need to report the news. The criminal investigation and administrative investigation that follows can never keep pace with the speed of media
reporting. This creates an inherent and unavoidable dilemma. Because we are severely restricted in releasing facts before the investigation is concluded, there is the risk that information will come from sources that may provide inaccurate accounts, speculative theories, misinformation or disinformation that is disseminated to the public while the investigation is progressing.

It is the desire of the Denver District Attorney to have the public know the full and true facts of these cases at the earliest opportunity, but the office is required by law, ethics, and the need to insure the integrity of the investigation to only do so at the appropriate time.

CONCLUSION

The protocol that is used in Denver to investigate and review officer-involved shootings was reviewed and strengthened by the Erickson Commission in 1997, under the leadership of William Erickson, former Chief Justice of the Colorado Supreme Court. The report released after the 15-month-long Erickson Commission review found it to be one of the best systems in the country for handling officer-involved shootings. We continue to evaluate the protocol and seek ways to strengthen it.

I encourage any interested person to read the decision letters in these cases, and if desired, to review the investigative case files at the District Attorney’s office to learn the facts.

Beth McCann

Denver District Attorney
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