



**AFFIDAVIT AND APPLICATION FOR ARREST WARRANT  
AND THE ARREST WARRANT FOR THE PERSON(S) OF:**

**Michael Pineda, 12/27/1971**, white male, brown hair, brown eyes, 5'10", 210lbs, for the following charge(s):

- **Felony Theft**, C.R.S. 18-4-401(1)(a) ;(2)(f), which is a Class 6 Felony.

I, Sergeant Thomas Bogert #03013, the Affiant, state under oath that the facts known to the Affiant which establish probable cause to believe that a criminal offense was committed and that the offense was committed by the above-named person(s) are the following:

On 04/21/2022, your affiant, a Police Officer for nineteen years and currently assigned to the Internal Affairs Bureau, received internal affairs complaint case # IC 2022-0024 for follow-up investigations. The internal investigation was generated due to a suspected policy violation by known Denver Police Officer Michael Pineda for the following incident:

On 04/15/2022, at 0930 hours, Officer Michael Pineda was scheduled to begin a four-hour off-duty shift at the [REDACTED] store at 72 [REDACTED] E 36<sup>th</sup> Ave., Denver, CO 80238. Officer Michael Pineda was expected to be at the location for the scheduled amount of time, to be a visible deterrent, and to handle any police-related matters that arise. Officer Michael Pineda, who was contracted to work as a uniformed off-duty Denver Police Officer in a secondary employment capacity, was not at the location as scheduled when Sergeant Pulford attempted to contact another officer, Santana Pineda, for an unrelated matter. Officer Michael Pineda's son, Officer Santana Pineda, was also employed at the same [REDACTED] in an identical capacity.

Your affiant accessed Officer Michael Pineda's time-keeping database, Telestaff, and located several policy violations, prompting further investigation into the number of hours Officer Michael Pineda was submitting for payment compared with the actual number of hours he was working at [REDACTED]. As part of the investigation, your affiant obtained video surveillance and payment invoices from the [REDACTED] Asset Protection Manager. [REDACTED]'s Asset Protection Manager provided video surveillance from the store dating back to 02/28/2022 and indicated this was the earliest date available, as the digital video recorder overwrites the oldest data as it becomes full.

Your affiant compared Officer Michael's scheduled hours to the store's payment invoices and reviewed the video surveillance for each day Officer Michael Pineda was paid for. Between 02/28/2022 and 04/22/2022, Officer Michael Pineda invoiced [REDACTED] for 108 hours of off off-duty work at its 72 [REDACTED] E 36<sup>th</sup> Ave. location. When reviewing the surveillance videos, your affiant was able to track Officer Michael Pineda's arrival time, where he was while at the location, and what time he would leave. By doing so, your affiant determined that Officer Michael Pineda only worked 51.67 of the 108 hours he was paid for, thereby fraudulently receiving \$5,070.00 of unearned wages. (56.33 @ rate of \$90.00 per hour).

**AFFIDAVIT AND APPLICATION FOR ARREST WARRANT**

Your affiant discovered similar discrepancies in Officer Santana Pineda's time-keeping submittals.

Based on the aforementioned information, your Affiant respectfully requests that a probable cause warrant be issued for the suspect, **Michael Pineda, 12/27/1971**, for the charges of **Felony Theft, C.R.S. 18-4-401(1)(a) ;(2)(f)**, which is a Class 6, to continue this investigation.

**(Michael Pineda 12/27/1971)**

I affirm this information to be true and correct

TR BJD 03013  
Signature of Affiant

Subscribed under oath before me on

07/26/2022 0834  
Date Title  
[Signature]  
Judge or Notary Public

My commission expires: \_\_\_\_\_

**ARREST WARRANT**

To all Sheriffs, Peace Officers and Police Officers with the said State:

You are hereby commanded to take the person of the defendant and bring him without unnecessary delay before a Judge of the County Court to be dealt with according to law.

Bail fixed at \$ 500 P.R. Bond

[Signature]  
Signature of Judge

Date 7/26/2022

FARRY A. SCHWARTZ  
Printed name of Judge

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I executed the above warrant on (date) \_\_\_\_\_ by taking \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ (name of person arrested) into custody and placing said person in the \_\_\_\_\_ Jail for safekeeping until  
further order of the court.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Arresting Officer

COPY

County/District Court  
City and County of Denver, Colorado

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

Denver Police Case Number 2022-377525



**AFFIDAVIT AND APPLICATION FOR ARREST WARRANT  
AND THE ARREST WARRANT FOR THE PERSON(S) OF:**

**Santana Pineda, 02/16/1996**, white male, black hair, brown eyes, 5'7", 217lbs, for the following charge(s):

- **Felony Theft**, C.R.S. 18-4-401(1)(a) ;(2)(f), which is a Class 6 Felony.

I, Sergeant Thomas Bogert #03013, the Affiant, state under oath that the facts known to the Affiant which establish probable cause to believe that a criminal offense was committed and that the offense was committed by the above-named person(s) are the following:

On 04/21/2022, your affiant, a Police Officer for nineteen years and currently assigned to the Internal Affairs Bureau, received internal affairs complaint case # IC 2022-0024 for follow-up investigations. The internal investigation was generated due to a suspected policy violation by known Denver Police Officer Santana Pineda for the following incident:

On 04/15/2022, at 0900 hours, Officer Santana Pineda was scheduled to begin a four-hour off-duty shift at the [REDACTED] store at 72 [REDACTED] E 36<sup>th</sup> Ave., Denver, CO 80238. Officer Santana Pineda was expected to be at the location for the scheduled amount of time, to be a visible deterrent, and to handle any police-related matters that arise. Officer Santana Pineda, who was contracted to work as a uniformed off-duty Denver Police Officer in a secondary employment capacity, was not at the location as scheduled when Sergeant Pulford attempted to contact Officer Santana Pineda, for an unrelated matter. Officer Santana Pineda's father, Officer Michael Pineda, was also employed at the same [REDACTED] in an identical capacity.

Your affiant accessed Officer Santana Pineda's time-keeping database, Telestaff, and located several policy violations, prompting further investigation into the number of hours Officer Santana Pineda was submitting for payment compared with the actual number of hours he was working at [REDACTED]. As part of the investigation, your affiant obtained video surveillance and payment invoices from the [REDACTED] Asset Protection Manager. [REDACTED]'s Asset Protection Manager provided video surveillance from the store dating back to 02/28/2022 and indicated this was the earliest date available, as the digital video recorder overwrites the oldest data as it becomes full.

Your affiant compared Officer Santana Pineda's scheduled hours to the store's payment invoices and reviewed the video surveillance for each day Officer Santana Pineda was paid for. Between 02/28/2022 and 04/29/2022, Officer Santana Pineda invoiced [REDACTED] for 71 hours of off-duty work at its 72 [REDACTED] E 36<sup>th</sup> Ave. location. When reviewing the surveillance videos, your affiant was able to track Officer Santana Pineda's arrival time, where he was while at the location, and what time he would leave. By doing so, your affiant determined that Officer Santana Pineda only worked 29.32 of the 71 hours he was paid for, fraudulently receiving \$3751.50 of unearned wages. (41.68 @ rate of \$90.00 per hour).

AFFIDAVIT AND APPLICATION FOR ARREST WARRANT

Your affiant discovered similar discrepancies in Officer Michael Pineda's time-keeping submittals.

Based on the aforementioned information, your Affiant respectfully requests that a probable cause warrant be issued for the suspect, **Santana Pineda, 02/16/1996**, for the charges of **Felony Theft**, C.R.S. 18-4-401(1)(a) ;(2)(f), which is a Class 6 , to continue this investigation.

(Santana Pineda 02/16/1996)

I affirm this information to be true and correct

JK BSA 03013  
Signature of Affiant

Subscribed under oath before me on

07/26/2012      0834  
Date                      Time  
[Signature]  
Judge or Notary Public

My commission expires: \_\_\_\_\_

ARREST WARRANT

To all Sheriffs, Peace Officers and Police Officers with the said State:

You are hereby commanded to take the person of the defendant and bring him ~~without~~ unnecessary delay before a Judge of the County Court to be dealt with according to law.

Bail fixed at    \$    500 P.R. BOND

[Signature]  
Signature of Judge

Date                    7/26/2012

HARRY A. SCHWARTZ  
Printed name of Judge

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I executed the above warrant on (date) \_\_\_\_\_ by taking \_\_\_\_\_ (name of person arrested) into custody and placing said person in the \_\_\_\_\_ Jail for safekeeping until further order of the court.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Arresting Officer